

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,979	05/08/2001	Ravi Ganesan	3350-42B	1858
20457	7590 07/15/2004		EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			BROWN, TIMOTHY M	
SUITE 1800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON	ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889		1648	

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/849,979	GANESAN ET AL.					
7.207.00.7 7.00.0.	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tim Brown	1648					
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 21 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply n places the applica	/ to a tion in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The tee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	ater than SIX MONTHS from the malling FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final of	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):,						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT	place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b)☐ disapproved by th	ne Examiner.					
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	- 1 -					
10. Other:	6	Affrey & Smith rimary Examiner					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments are not persuasive. Taking claim 58 for example, Applicants argue that Van Dusen's email does not teach their electronic greeting card. The previous Office action asserts Van Dusen's email anticipates Applicants' greeting card because the specification states the email "may be entire e-card." Applicants disagree reasoning that Van Dusen's email cannot anticipate their invention because the term "electronic greeting card" has special meaning in the art based on the invention's functionality. The Examiner respectfully disagrees because claim 58 does not require any unique greeting card function. Claim 58 simply generates and transmits the electronic greeting card. These features are certainly taught by Van Dusen. Because Applicants' arguments do not overcome the rejection of claim 58, the Request for Reconsideration does not place the application in condition for allowance.